Hazel, Donald H. (for Petitioner/Administrator Darrell Dean Mobley)

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administrator and Petition for Its Settlement, (2) for Allowance of Compensation to Administrator and Attorney for Ordinary Services and (3) for Final Distribution

Age: 3/30/2003		DARRELL DEAN MC			NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
g,		petitioner.				
			1			
			Account period: 3	/30/03 – 1	12-30-13	Continued to 4/14/14 at the
Со	nt. from		Accounting	_	\$47,681.92	request of the attorney.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Beginning POH	-	\$44,678.65	1. Creditor's Claims filed by; Fancher
✓	Verified		Ending POH	-	\$22,857.99	Creek Packing, MBNA, Sears, Cade
✓	Inventory		Administrator	-	\$1,907.28	Ranch and Bank of America were rejected on 1/8/14. The claimants
✓	PTC		(statutory)			have 90 days in which to file an action on the rejected claim.
✓	Not.Cred.		Attorney (statutory)	-	\$1,907.28	Therefore it appears that the estate
✓	Notice of Hrg	W/O	, ,,,	•		will not be in a condition to close until after April 8, 2014.
✓	Aff.Mail		Closing -	\$2,00	0.00	
	Aff.Pub.					
	Sp.Ntc.		Distribution of property on hand in partial		•	
	Pers.Serv.		satisfaction of their	creditor's	s claim is to:	
	Conf. Screen		Franchise Tax Boar	·d -	\$17,043.43	
✓	Letters 6/17	/03			•	
	Duties/Supp					
	Objections					
	Video Receipt					
	CI Report		1			
✓	9202					
✓	Order					
	Aff. Posting]			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt					Reviewed on: 3/5/14
	UCCJEA					Updates:
	Citation					Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	N/A				File 1 – Mobley

Esther Sotelo Family Grantor Trust (Trust) Wall, Jeffrey L. (for Esther Sotelo – Trustee – Petitioner) Second Account Current of Trustee

2

Atty

DOD: 4-14-12 **ESTHER F. SOTELO**, Granddaughter and Trustee with **NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:** bond of \$10,000.00, is Petitioner. SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES Account period: 1-1-11 through 12-31-13 Accounting: \$207,070,34 Beginning POH: \$200,000.00 Aff.Sub.Wit. \$200,000.00 (real property) Endina POH: Verified Trustee: Petitioner requests fees to be deferred until Inventory the trust is ready for distribution **PTC** Not.Cred. Attorney: Petitioner requests fees to be deferred until Notice of Hrg the trust is ready for distribution Aff.Mail W Petitioner states Mrs. Esther Sotelo died on 4-14-12. The Aff.Pub. trust has been kept open because the trustee wants Sp.Ntc. to maximize the return on sale of the trust's principal Pers.Serv. asset, a house in Pasadena, Ca., prior to distribution. Conf. Screen There was substantial deferred maintenance on the property when the Sotelo Conservatorship Letters (03CEPR01364) was first established. The trustee has **Duties/Supp** personally made several trips to perform repairs and **Objections** improvements, and a caretaker, who has been Video residing at the property, has invested a substantial Receipt sum of money in repairs in exchange for rent. **CI Report** 9202 Petitioner prays that: 1. Notice of the hearing of this Account, Report, Order and Petition be given as required by law; Aff. Posting Reviewed by: skc 2. The Court make an order approving, allowing, **Reviewed on:** 3-5-14 Status Rpt and settling the second account and report of **UCCJEA Updates:** the trustee and granting the petition as filed; Citation **Recommendation:** 3. The Court defer ruling on compensation for **FTB Notice** File 2 - Sotelo Petitioner and her attorneys until the estate has funds to pay such compensation; and 4. Such other relief be granted as the Court considers proper.

2 Esther Sotelo Family Grantor Trust (Trust)

Case No. 11CEPR00503

Page 2

- 1. Receipts Schedule is missing receipts from January 2011 through November 2011 (Social Security income, etc.).
- 2. Need account statements pursuant to Probate Code §2620.
- 3. Article V requires distribution of the trust upon the death of the grantor. Mrs. Sotelo died almost two years ago, but this petition is not filed as a final account and Petitioner does not indicate a time frame for distribution and termination of the trust; only that she wants to maximize the return on sale prior to distribution. The trust needs to be terminated. The Court will set a status hearing for termination. See below.
- 4. Examiner is unable to determine from this trust file whether Petitioner is now the sole beneficiary, or whether there are others. The trust states that after the Grantor's death, the trustee shall allocate the assets into equal shares for the living children of the Grantor's deceased son Andrew Sotelo. The file indicates that Petitioner is Andrew Sotelo's daughter; however, it is unclear if she has siblings. Need clarification: Did Andrew Sotelo have any other children? Who are the other relatives that were sent notice of this hearing?

(Please note that although this information may have been previously provided to the Court in prior filings or in the conservatorship file, that file is located at the Court's archives and is not available at this time. All necessary information should always be included in the instant petitions rather than relying on Examiner to research years and volumes of past filings.)

- 5. Attorney Nancy LeVan filed a Request for Special Notice in this trust proceeding, which indicates that there may be payment owing in connection with the conservatorship proceeding. The Court require clarification as to whether all of the conservatee's final bills have been paid, since she passed away approx. two years ago.
- **6.** It appears the trustee's bond amount of \$10,000.00 was based on the conservatorship bond and the conservatee's income, and did not need to cover the real property because sale of the conservatee's real property would require Court oversight during her lifetime. However, at this time, the Court may require further information to determine if increased bond is needed to protect other beneficiaries during the final administration of the trust.

Note: The Court will set a status hearing for the filing of the final account and petition for final distribution for Friday 6-13-14.

Case No. 13CEPR00054

Theresa Gutierrez Martin (Estate)
McCloskey, Daniel T. (for Joseph W. Martin – Administrator – Petitioner) 3 Atty Atty

Casheros, Jerry D. (for Mary J. Quin – Respondent)

Petition to Determine Title and Request Transfer of Personal Property to the Estate and Assess Statutory Damages Thereto Against Mary J. Quin

DOD: 3-31-10	JOSEPH W. MARTIN, Administrator with Full IAEA	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD: 3-31-10	without bond, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS.
Cont. from 121813,	Petitioner states he is an 86 year old man and is the duly appointed administrator of the estate. Petitioner is the decedent's spouse and intestate	Note: Related civil litigation 12CECG03130 Joseph W. Martin v. Mary J. Quin has upcoming hearing scheduled 4-3-14. See status report.
010614	heir to the decedent's estate. The decedent is	Continued from 12-18-13, 1-6-14
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Petitioner's second wife and is unrelated to Mary	COMMISCE HOME 12-10-10, 1-0-14
✓ Verified	Quin, Petitioner's daughter from his first wife.	Minute Order 1-6-14: The Court is
Inventory	After the color the death Deliver	advised that the matter has been
PTC	After decedent's death, Petitioner requested that his daughter Mary Quin, a licensed California	resolved and they are in the process of preparing an agreement.
	attorney, assist with the transfer of PG&E stock	or propaining arragicement.
Not.Cred.	issued in the decedent's name. Quin held herself	Status Report filed 3-4-14 by Attorney
✓ Notice of Hrg	out as a qualified probate attorney and Petitioner	McCloskey (not verified by
✓ Aff.Mail	trusted her to provide competent legal services	Administrator) states: The parties agreed to mediation in the civil
Aff.Pub.	and counsel after the death of his wife. Unknown	action and a Petition to Approve
Sp.Ntc.	to Petitioner, Quin prepared a revocable living trust,	Compromise of Pending Action is
✓ Pers.Serv.	will, durable powers of attorney and related	now pending in that Court, set for
Conf. Screen	documents for Petitioner. Quin brought these documents to Petitioner on 4-24-10 and required	hearing on 4-23-14 in Dept. 403. It is the Administrator's intention to
Letters	him to sign documents that eventually appointed	request dismissal of this Petition to
Duties/Supp	Quin as trustee and gave herself control over	Determine Title once the settlement
	Petitioner's life savings, without Petitioner's consent	of the other case is approved by
Video	and knowledge and with the intent to defraud	Judge Culver Kapetan. The Administrator currently resides at an
Receipt	and eventually embezzle and convert his life	assisted living facility and has mobility
CI Report	savings, as well as the decedent's assets.	issues that make it difficult to attend
9202	Petitioner states Quin obtained a Schwab	the hearing and asks that he be excused from attending this hearing.
✓ Order	Attorney-in-Fact Agreement and Affidavit of	excosed normaliterialing in its freathing.
	Attorney-in-Fact for Non-Schwab Power of	Note: This information is provided by
	Attorney for Theresa Martin's and Petitioner's	the Examiner for status purposes only:
	Charles Schwab accounts and then converted,	A status hearing in this estate is scheduled for 5-30-14 for the filing of
	embezzled, and stole both IRA accounts, using the	the petition for final distribution.
	POA, to take the decedent's Schwab IRA, which was to go to Petitioner and as successor trustee, to	However, Examiner notes that a Final
	gain control over Petitioner's Schwab IRA.	Inventory and Appraisal has not yet
	gant cormor over to interior 3 oct tivals ito t.	been filed.
Aff. Posting	Petitioner states Quin replaced Petitioner's mailing	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	address on the Schwab accounts to her law office	Reviewed on: 3-5-14
UCCJEA	address, and changed the phone number to her	Updates:
Citation	law office number. She never informed him that she had taken control of the accounts and had	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	statements forwarded.	File 3 – Martin
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	STATOT TO TO WOLDOW.	5 ///
	SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES	

3 Theresa Gutierrez Martin (Estate)

Page 2

Petitioner states that on 4-24-10, Quin required Petitioner to give Quin a blank check that the Decedent, Theresa Martin, had executed prior to date of death, drawn on an estate asset, the decedent's United Security Bank account. Quin required Petitioner to make the check payable to Quin's law offices. See Exhibit C. Quin advised Petitioner at the time that she would use this money in Decedent's bank account to obtain the PG&E stock for Petitioner that was held in the decedent's name.

Case No. 13CEPR00054

Petitioner states Quin never attempted to obtain the PG&E stock, and, despite Quin's self-professed expertise in probate proceedings and estate planning, Quin never attempted to probate the estate.

Petitioner states Quin deposited the check in September 2010 to her law office account. Quin knew or should have known that decedent's checking account was an estate asset, and as such, her acceptance and negotiation of the check was illegal and improper, and that the estate, given the decedent also owned a single family residence, needed to be formally probated.

In January 2012, Petitioner contacted Charles Schwab by telephone to inquire about his life savings, the IRA accounts. Petitioner was informed that decedent's and his accounts had been depleted completely by Quin using the durable power of attorney.

At no time did Petitioner intentionally, knowingly or willingly gift and/or loan the assets that made up his life savings, the brokerage and IRA Rollover accounts at Charles Schwab to Quin.

In Feb. 2012, Petitioner first contacted his attorney, Babette Fischer, who made a demand on Quin to return the \$40,000, make an accounting, and return the money that she had embezzled and stole to the accounts. Fischer also terminated Quin's powers revoked in writing by Petitioner. See attached.

Petitioner filed a complaint with the California State Bar regarding Quin's conduct as an attorney, and has been informed that the State Bar is holding its investigation pending the outcome of civil litigation that Petiitoner has inititated as well against Quin regarding her fraud and deceit.

Prior to her death, the decedent kept a separate property checking account at United Security Bank xxx049 that contained approx. \$40,000 prior to her death. On or about 9-1-10, Quin deposited the decedent's estate check from that account that Quin required Petitioner give her April 2010 into Quin's law office trust account. On 9-8-10, Quin converted that estate, asset to her own personal use.

Petitioner states Quin intentionally defrauded the Petitioner and the estate of that account and the Schwab accounts. Quin knew the estate should have been probated but that Quin could negotiate the blank check executed by the decedent prior to her death and then convert the money to her own use. Quin engaged the petitioner unwittingly in an illegal act, in presenting the check for negotiation after the decedent's death. Petitioner did not know that the law requires the account to be probated in some form under California law.

Petitioner states Quin took the estate account under the guise of assisting petitioner in acquiring the stock held by decedent.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

3 Theresa Gutierrez Martin (Estate)

Case No. 13CEPR00054

Page 3

Petitioner and Quin have only seen each other sporadically in many years. The last time Quin and Petitioner met was on 4-24-10 when Quin defrauded Petitioner into executing a living trust naming Quin as trustee and allowing Quin to take his brokerage and IRA rollover accounts, and giving Quin the \$40,000 check. Quin, which malice and bad intent then concocted the gift and loan scheme to defraud her father of both his life savings as well as the decedent's.

Petitioner believes Quin's actions are malicious and outrageous requiring application of Probate Code §859.

Petitioner requests pursuant to Probate Code §850(a)(2)(D) that title to the above described money is vested in Petitioner as administrator of the estate. Petitioner further requests the Court find and hold Mary Quin as a constructive trustee of those funds and hold the proceeds of that account fbo the estate of Therese Martin.

Petitioner further requests that the Court issue a citation pursuant to Probate Code § 1240 for Mary J. Quin to appear and state why she cashed a \$40,000 check on Decedent's United Security Bank account more than five months after decedent's death and why she should not be required to reimburse decedent's estate.

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

- 1. Quin be ordered to pay Petitioner as Administrator of the Estate of Theresa Martin the net proceeds of the above-described property; and
- 2. Quin be declared a constructive trustee of the proceeds of the above-described property for the benefit of the Estate of Theresa Martin; and
- 3. A citation be issued to Mary J. Quin to appear and state why she cashed at \$40,000 check on decedent's United Security Bank account more than 30 days after decedent's death; and
- 4. A finding that Quin in bad faith has taken, concealed, or disposed of the property by the use of undue influence in bad faith and through the commission of elder or dependent adult financial abuse, as defined in Section 15610.30 of the W&I Code, the property of the Estate of Theresa Martin, to wit: United Security Bank checking account No. xxx049 in the amount of \$40,000; and
- 5. A finding and order that Mary Quin is liable for twice the value of the property recovered by this action pursuant to Probate Code §859; and
- 6. An order that Quin pay Petitioner's costs and attorney fees herein; and
- 7. Such other remedies available in law or equity that the Court would deem just and proper.

Note: The proposed order does not appear to include the constructive trust or other findings. See proposed order.

Petitioner also filed Notice of Request for Court to Take Judicial Notice and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, with reference to First Amended Complaint filed 2-5-13 in 12CECG03130.

<u>Note</u>: On 12-6-13, Respondent Mary J. Quin filed an ex parte request to continue the hearing from its originally scheduled date of 12-18-13. This Court's order filed 12-9-13 continued the matter to this date.

However, as of this date, it does not appear that any objection or response has yet been filed in this matter by Ms. Quin.

4A Atty Atty

Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust 2/16/94 Case No. 13CEPR00564

Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Successor Trustee under Amendment/Petitioner)
Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – son of Debbra Winter/Respondent-Objector)
Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust [Prob. C. 850(a)(2)(3), 855, 17200(b)(6)]

Stephan DOD:	DENNIS FREEMAN , successor trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
08/09/05	Petitioner states:	CONTINUED FROM 01/28/14
Debbra DOD:	1. Stephan F. Winter and Debbra L. Winter ("Settlors") executed the Stephan F. Winter and	COMMODING TROMOT/20/14
05/13/13	Debbra L. Winter Revocable Trust (the "Trust") or	1. Need Order.
	02/16/94 in their capacity as Settlors and initial	
	Trustees. The Trust was amended on 09/14/02.	
Cont. from 081213,	Petitioner is the currently acting successor trustee	;
102113, 112013,	of the Trust.	
120913, 010614,	3. This petition concerns the ownership of the	
012814	original Trust documents which Christopher Lull ("Respondent") or his agents have taken	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	possession of and refused to deliver to the truste	e
✓ Verified	4. The Settlor's maintained the original trust	J.
	documents in a binder. Shortly before her deatl	٦,
Inventory	Debbra delivered the binder to real estate ager	nt
PTC	Michele Lane in connection with a real estate	
Not.Cred.	transaction. The real estate agent had	4
✓ Notice of Hrg	possession of the binder when Debbra died and maintained possession of it, refusing to deliver it to	
✓ Aff.Mail w/	anyone without a court order. Thereafter,	0
Aff.Pub.	Respondent's attorney, Sue Campbell,	
Sp.Ntc.	represented to Michele Lane that the family	
Pers.Serv.	agreed that it was ok that Ms. Lane release the	
Conf. Screen	binder to Respondent in care of attorney Sue	
Letters	Campbell. 5. This was not acceptable to Petitioner because	
	(1) Petitioner was the trustee and not responder	nt l
Duties/Supp	and (2) Respondent, Debbra's son, had been	",
✓ Objections	intentionally omitted from the Trust due to a long	4
Video	standing estranged relationship.	
Receipt	6. Petitioner has demanded the release of the trus	†
CI Report	binder from Respondent's former attorney, Sue	
9202	Campbell; however, initially Sue Campbell's assistant professed no knowledge of a binder	
Order X	being picked up by her office and later Sue	
Aff. Posting	Campbell professed no knowledge of a binder	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	being picked up from Michele Lane. Petitioner'	
UCCJEA	demands that Respondent deliver the binder to	Updates:
Citation	Petitioner's attorney have been ignored. Petitioner prays for an Order:	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	 Declaring that Petitioner is the sole owner of the 	File 4A – Winter
1 1	original trust documents and that Respondent	
	has no interest in the original trust documents.	
	2. Directing Respondent to immediately deliver	
	possession to Petitioner of any and all trust	
	documents including but not limited to the	
	original Trust, original Trust amendment, and the estate planning binder.	
	Continued on Page 2	
	Commission of Frago 2	

4A Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust 2/16/94 Case No. 13CEPR00564

Page 2

Objection filed 08/07/13 by Christopher Lull, Respondent, states:

- 1. Petitioner does not have standing to bring this Petition. Petitioner is not the trustee because the amendment naming him as trustee was revoked. Morgan Quail witnessed the destruction of the amendment (see Declaration of Morgan Quail). Without the amendment, Petitioner is not the trustee or a beneficiary of the Trust and has no vested interest in the Trust.
- 2. Petitioner is not a relative or heir of Debbra L. Winter.
- 3. Michele Lane, the real estate agent described in the Petition, was in possession of the original estate planning binder at the time of Debbra Winter's death. Ms. Lane turned over the binder to Respondent because she found his name in the estate documents, and could not locate Petitioner's name in the binder. Because Ms. Lane could not find Petitioner's name in the documents and didn't know who he was, she refused to turn the binder over to him. Respondent's attorney has spoken to Ms. Lane and anticipates that she will be a witness in this matter. Ms. Lane stated to Respondent's attorney that Petitioner admitted to her that he never even met Debbra Winter. This information supports the fact that the amendment was destroyed and not in the original estate planning binder.
- 4. In the absence of the original, there is a legal presumption that the document was revoked. Additionally, pursuant to Probate Code § 6120(b), we have an act of destruction consistent with the intent to revoke. This presumption cannot be overcome based on the Declaration of Morgan Quail and the expected testimony of Michele Lane.
- 5. Respondent, Christopher Lull, is the successor trustee of the Trust dated 07/16/94 and the primary beneficiary. He had every right to withdraw the money from Bank of America, as Trustee.

Objector prays for an Order:

- 1. Denying the Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust Regarding Bank Account; and
- 2. Denying the Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust.

Declaration of Morgan Quail in Support of Objection filed 08/07/13 states:

- 1. He is Debbra Winter's nephew and probably one of her closest family members. Debbra felt like a bit of an outsider in their family and felt left out. Declarant always tried to include her in his life events and she always seemed grateful to be included.
- 2. Their family has a lot of drama. Declarant states that he has tried to stay out of it and remain neutral. He believes that this is why Debbra confided in him and spoke to him about things she might not talk about with others.
- 3. Approximately 2 years ago on Father's Day, Debbra pulled Declarant aside and asked to talk to him. During their conversation, she removed a document titled amendment to trust from her purse and proceeded to tear it up in front of him. She stated that she wanted to keep her money in the family. Declarant also believes she was having conversations with her sister Catherine and father Stanton about this issue as well.
- 4. At Christmastime later that year, Declarant received a card from Debbra thanking him for the talk on Father's Day.

Joint Status Report filed 12/18/13 provides an outline/summary of the petitions filed in this matter.

First Amended Objection to Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust filed 01/07/14 states:

- 1. Objector, Christopher Lull, is the son of Debbra L. Winter, deceased, who is the co-settlor and the successor trustee of the STEPHAN F. WINTER and DEBBRA L. WINTER REVOCABLE TRUST (the "Trust") dated 02/16/94.
- 2. Decedent, Debbra Winter ("Debbra") and her husband Stephan F. Winter ("Stephan") created the Trust dated 02/16/94 in which they were both name as settlors and co-trustees. A purported Amendment to the Trust was executed on 09/14/02.
- 3. Under the terms of the Amendment, the successor trustee, upon the death of the first of Stephan and Debbra, was named as Dennis Freeman. Further, on the death of the surviving spouse/settlor, the estate was to be distributed to Dennis Freeman, if living, and if not, to Morgan Quail.

Continued on Page 3

4A Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust 2/16/94 Case No. 13CEPR00564

- 4. The purported Amendment to the Trust was not a true and voluntary expression of testamentary intent of the co-settlor and co-trustee, Debbra Winter, but was a result of the control, demands, and undue influence of Stephan F. Winter, Debbra's spouse.
- 5. Stephan, taking advantage of the confidential and fiduciary relationship between him and his spouse, Debbra, and by reason of the trust and confidence so reposed in Stephan by Debbra, was able to and did influence and control the mind and actions of Debbra and induce her to sign the purported Amendment to the trust which provided, among other things, that all of the assets of the Trust be distributed upon the death of the surviving spouse to Dennis Freeman, who is unrelated to Debbra, and is a cousin of Stephan.
- 6. Prior to signing the Amendment, Stephan exhibited animosity and opposition to Objector, who is the only child and natural heir of Debbra, and attempted to, and did, alienate Debbra from Objector and induced her to sign the purported Amendment to the Trust excluding Objector as a beneficiary of the Trust, contrary to the terms of the initial Trust dated 02/16/94. As a result of the undue influence, pressure, and control by Stephan, and the alienation that he induced between Debbra and Objector, the Amendment dated 09/14/02, was not the true intent and testamentary wish of Debbra, but was that of her husband, Stephan.
- 7. After Stephan's death in 2005, Debbra stated her wish, and as so expressed to her family members, including her nephew, Morgan Quail, and her sister, Catherine Quail, that she did <u>not</u> intend to leave her estate, or any part of it, to Dennis Freeman; however, she was unaware of how and what manner to change the disposition and the purported Amendment to the Trust. Debbra was under the mistaken belief that the mere destruction of the Amendment, by tearing it up, resulted in the revocation of the Amendment and would therefore result in the original Trust agreement expressing her true intentions, which included her plan to bequeath and devise <u>all</u> of her trust assets to her direct heirs, including her son, Objector, Christopher Lull. Debbra was unable to do so at the time she signed the Amendment because she was wholly under the influence of Stephan, who proposed and dictated to Debbra the purported Amendment to the Trust. As a result, the Amendment was not the true and voluntary act of Debbra, but was procured as the result of the undue and unreasonable influence and control of Stephan and the mistaken belief thereafter of Debbra that she had taken appropriate actions to restore her true testamentary intent, as expressed in the initial Trust Agreement dated 02/16/94.

Objector, Christopher Lull prays for an Order:

1. Denying the Petition; determining that the purported Amendment to the Trust dated 09/14/02 is not the true testamentary intent of Debbra Winter; and that the terms and conditions of the trust are those set forth in the original testamentary instrument designated the "Trust Agreement" signed 02/16/94.

4B Atty Attý

Stephan & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust 2/16/94 Case No. 13CEPR00564 Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Successor Trustee under Amendment/Petitioner) Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – son of Debbra Winter/Respondent-Objector)

Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust Regarding Bank Account [Prob. C. 850(a)(2)(3), 855, 17200(b)(6)]

Steph	nan DOD:	DENNIS FREEMAN , successor trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
08/09/05			COMMENTS:
Debb	ora DOD:	Petitioner states:	
05/13		7. Stephan F. Winter and Debbra L. Winter ("Settlors")	Continued from 01/28/14
	-	executed the Stephan F. Winter and Debbra L.	
		Winter Revocable Trust (the "Trust") on 02/16/94 in	
Cont	. from 081213,	their capacity as Settlors and initial Trustees. The Trust	
	. IIOM 061213, 13, 112013,	was amended on 09/14/02.	
1 1	13, 112013, 13, 010614,	8. Petitioner is the currently acting successor trustee of	
01281		the Trust.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	9. This petition concerns the ownership of Bank of	
		America account no. xxxx xxxx 3919 with a balance of over \$565,000 which was an asset of the Trust.	
-	/erified	10. Petitioner is informed and believes that	
	nventory	Respondent, Christopher Lull, presented Bank of	
P	TC	America on or about June 26, 2013 the original	
N	Not.Cred.	02/16/94 Trust without including the 09/14/02	
✓ N	Notice of Hrg	amendment and wrongfully obtained the balance	
✓ A	Aff.Mail w/	of the Bank of America Account.	
Α	Aff.Pub.		
Sı	p.Ntc.	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
	Pers.Serv.	Declaring that Petitioner is the sole owner of the funds in Bank of America account no. xxxx xxxx	
	Conf. Screen	3919 and the sums wrongfully removed from	
	etters	said account and that Respondent has no	
	Outies/Supp	interest in the account or the balance of the	
	Objections	account;	
	/ideo	Directing Respondent to immediately deliver	
	Receipt	the amounts removed from the account to	
	Cl Report	Petitioner;	
	2202	Directing Respondent to pay Petitioner's attorney's fees and costs; and	
	Order	4. Awarding Petitioner with exemplary damages	
I 	Aff. Posting	against Respondent according to proof.	Reviewed by: JF
I 	itatus Rpt	,	Reviewed by: 37
	JCCJEA	Continued on Page 2	Updates:
	Citation		Recommendation:
	TB Notice		File 4B – Winter
	15 1401100		******************************

4B Stephan & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust 2/16/94 Case No. 13CEPR00564

Page 2

Objection filed 08/07/13 by Christopher Lull, Respondent, states:

- 6. Petitioner does not have standing to bring this Petition. Petitioner is not the trustee because the amendment naming him as trustee was revoked. Morgan Quail witnessed the destruction of the amendment (see Declaration of Morgan Quail). Without the amendment, Petitioner is not the trustee or a beneficiary of the Trust and has no vested interest in the Trust.
- 7. Petitioner is not a relative or heir of Debbra L. Winter.
- 8. Michele Lane, the real estate agent described in the Petition, was in possession of the original estate planning binder at the time of Debbra Winter's death. Ms. Lane turned over the binder to Respondent because she found his name in the estate documents, and could not locate Petitioner's name in the binder. Because Ms. Lane could not find Petitioner's name in the documents and didn't know who he was, she refused to turn the binder over to him. Respondent's attorney has spoken to Ms. Lane and anticipates that she will be a witness in this matter. Ms. Lane stated to Respondent's attorney that Petitioner admitted to her that he never even met Debbra Winter. This information supports the fact that the amendment was destroyed and not in the original estate planning binder.
- 9. In the absence of the original, there is a legal presumption that the document was revoked. Additionally, pursuant to Probate Code § 6120(b), we have an act of destruction consistent with the intent to revoke. This presumption cannot be overcome based on the Declaration of Morgan Quail and the expected testimony of Michele Lane.
- 10. Respondent, Christopher Lull, is the successor trustee of the Trust dated 07/16/94 and the primary beneficiary. He had every right to withdraw the money from Bank of America, as Trustee.

Objector prays for an Order:

- 3. Denying the Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust Regarding Bank Account; and
- 4. Denying the Petition to Determine Title to and Require Transfer of Property to Trust.

Declaration of Morgan Quail in Support of Objection filed 08/07/13 states:

- 5. He is Debbra Winter's nephew and probably one of her closest family members. Debbra felt like a bit of an outsider in their family and felt left out. Declarant always tried to include her in his life events and she always seemed grateful to be included.
- 6. Their family has a lot of drama. Declarant states that he has tried to stay out of it and remain neutral. He believes that this is why Debbra confided in him and spoke to him about things she might not talk about with others.
- 7. Approximately 2 years ago on Father's Day, Debbra pulled Declarant aside and asked to talk to him. During their conversation, she removed a document titled amendment to trust from her purse and proceeded to tear it up in front of him. She stated that she wanted to keep her money in the family. Declarant also believes she was having conversations with her sister Catherine and father Stanton about this issue as well.
- 8. At Christmastime later that year, Declarant received a card from Debbra thanking him for the talk on Father's Day.

Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00564

4C Atty Atty

Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Successor Trustee under Amendment/Petitioner) Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – son of Debbra Winter/Respondent-Objector)

Notice of Hearing on Demurrer and Demurrer of Respondent Dennis Freeman to Petition to Determine Validity of Purported Trust, for Order Determining Interest in Trust Property and for Reformation; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Demurrer to Petition Without Leave to Amend

	support of Demotrer to Felliton Wilhout Leave to Amena				
Stephan DOD:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:			
08/09/05					
Debbra DOD:		Examiner Notes are not prepared for this			
05/13/13		matter.			
Cont. from					
Aff.Sub.Wit.					
Verified					
Inventory					
PTC					
Not.Cred.					
Notice of Hrg					
Aff.Mail					
Aff.Pub.					
Sp.Ntc.					
Pers.Serv.					
Conf. Screen					
Letters					
Duties/Supp					
Objections					
Video					
Receipt					
CI Report					
9202					
Order					
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF			
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 03/05/14			
UCCJEA		Updates:			
Citation		Recommendation:			
FTB Notice		File 4C – Winter			

4C

Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00564

4D Atty Atty

Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Successor Trustee under Amendment/Petitioner)
Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – son of Debbra Winter/Respondent-Objector)
Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings; Memorandum of
Points and Authorities in Support Thereof; Declaration of Jeffrey B. Pape [CCP 438]

Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:		Examiner Notes are not prepared for this matter.
		maner.
Cont. from 120913,		
010614, 012814		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of		
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt	4	
CI Report	_	
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	_	Reviewed on: 03/05/14
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation	_	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 4D – Winter

4D

Atty Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Petitioner)

Atty Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – Respondent)

Petition to Determine Title to; Require Transfer to and Impose Constructive Trust Over Property [Prob. C. 850(a)(2), (3), 855, 17200(b)(6)]

Stephan DOD: 08/09/05	DENNIS FREEMAN , successor Trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Debbra DOD: 05/13/13	Petitioner states: 1. Stephan F. Winter and Debbra L. Winter ("Stations") propertied the Standard F. Winter and Debbra L. Winter	CONTINUED FROM 01/28/14
	("Settlors") executed the Stephan F. Winter and Debbra L. Winter Revocable Trust (the "Trust") on 02/16/94 in their capacity as Settlors and initial	1. Need Order.
Cont. from 120913, 010614, 012814	Trustees. The Trust was amended on 09/14/02. 2. Stephan Winter died 08/09/05 and Debbra Winter died 05/13/13. Petitioner is the named	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	successor trustee of the Trust. On 08/30/13, the Court appointed Bruce Bickel as temporary	
✓ Verified Inventory	trustee of the Trust. 3. This Petition concerns the conversion of Bank of	
PTC Not Creed	America account ending in 3919 with a balance of over \$565,000.00 which was an	
Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg	asset of the Trust. Petitioner alleges that Respondent, Christopher Lull, removed the entire balance of the Bank of America	
✓ Aff.Mail w/ Aff.Pub.	account on or about 06/26/13 and transferred it to his personal account. Petitioner alleges	
Sp.Ntc.	that, among other things, Christopher Lull used \$125,000.00 of the wrongfully obtained funds to	
Pers.Serv.	pay down a promissory note and deed of trust	
Conf. Screen	on his personal residence. These funds were paid to First Northern Bank of Dixon, California	
Letters	on or about 01/27/13.	
Duties/Supp	4. <u>Constructive Trust.</u> The real property which was subject to the promissory note and deed of	
✓ Response	trust that Christopher Lull applied \$125,000.00 in	
Video Receipt	payment is located on Ophir Road in Auburn, CA (legal description provided).	
CI Report	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
9202	 Declaring that Respondent Christopher Lull 	
Order X	holds in constructive trust the real property on Ophir Road in Auburn, CA, described in	
Aff. Posting	the Petition;	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt UCCJEA	Authorizing the temporary trustee to take possession of and sell the real property	Reviewed on: 03/05/14 Updates:
Citation	described above to recover the	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	\$125,000.00 plus attorneys' fees and costs in so doing;	File 4E – Winter
	Directing Respondent to pay Petitioner his	
	attorneys' fees and costs; and 4. Awarding Petitioner with exemplary	
	damages against Respondent according	
	to proof. Continued on Page 2	
	Commoca on rage 2	

4E Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00564

Page 2

Response to Petition to Determine Title To, Require Transfer to and Impose Constructive Trust Over Property filed 12/06/13 by Respondent, Christopher Lull, states:

- 1. Respondent admits that the STEPHAN F. WINTER AND DEBBRA L. WINTER REVOCABLE TRUST was created on 02/16/94 by Stephan and Debbra Winter.
- 2. Respondent denies that the Amendment dated 09/14/02 is a valid and effective trust document, or "Amendment" and that said Amendment does not reflect the true intent of the co-settlor and trustee, Debbra Winter.
- 3. Respondent denies the validity of the purported "Amendment" and, therefore, denies that Dennis Freeman is a successor trustee of the Trust.
- 4. Respondent denies that Fresno County is the proper venue for this action. And states that as successor trustee of the Trust the appropriate venue for this action should be in Sacramento County pursuant to Probate Code § 17002(b)(1).
- 5. Respondent admits that the Bank of America account described in the Petition was an asset of the Trust. Respondent denies that he has "wrongfully" taken or converted any sums from said account, or any other asset of the alleged trust, as said Amendment to the Trust is invalid and ineffective, and that the Trust, therefore, provides that Respondent is the sole successor trustee and beneficiary of the Trust.
- 6. Respondent admits that he has an interest in real property in Auburn, CA described in the Petition, but denies that there is any wrongful application of funds for any payment on that real property.

Affirmative Defenses:

- 1. The Petition fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a valid cause or causes of action against Respondent.
- 2. There is no basis for a "constructive trust" over the real property described in the Petition in that said real property is not, and was not, an asset of the Trust and thereby the remedy of "constructive trust" is not available under Civil Code §§ 2223 and 2224 as the Trust has not right, title, claim, or interest in the real property. (Citation provided)
- 3. The Petition has no ground to assert, nor is it alleged, that there is any basis for a claim of "exemplary damages".
- 4. The Petitioner fails to allege any statutory grounds, or any other legal right, for attorney's fees in the filing of this Petition and that attorney's fees are not recoverable to the Petitioner pursuant to Civil Code § 1021 and 1026.

Respondent prays for an Order:

- 1. That the Petition and each claim therein be dismissed against Respondent and that Petitioner take nothing by reason of his complaint; and
- 2. For costs incurred herein.

Case No. 13CEPR00564

Atty Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Petitioner)

Atty Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – Respondent)

Status Hearing

Stephan DOD: 08/09/05		e following petitions have been filed or are ending in this matter:	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Debbra DOD: 05/13/13	1.	Petition to Determine Title To and Require Transfer of Property to Trust (Page 4A) Petition to Determine Title To and Require Transfer of Property to Trust re Bank Account (Page 4B)	Supplemental Joint Status Report filed 01/31/14 provides an outline/summary of the petitions filed in this matter.
Cont. from 010614, 012814	3.		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		Purported Trust, for Order Determining	
Verified		Interest in Trust Property and for	
Inventory		Reformation; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Demurrer to Petition	
PTC		Without Leave to Amend (Page 4C-Note	
Not.Cred.		to Judge: Research attorney to provide	
Notice of Hrg	4.	memo) Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment	
Aff.Mail	╡▔	on the Pleadings (Page 4D) Note to Judge:	
Aff.Pub.		See Research Attorney Memo Re Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment on	
Sp.Ntc.		Pleadings	
Pers.Serv.	5.	Petition to Determine Title To; Require	
Conf. Screen		Transfer To and Impose Constructive Trust Over Property (Page 4E)	
Letters	6.	Petition to Compel Christopher Lull to	
Duties/Supp		Redress Breach of Trust by Payment of	
Objections		Money or Otherwise and for Double Damages (Page 4G)	
Video	7.	Petition to Determine Validity of Purported	
Receipt		Trust, for Order Determining Interest in Trust	
CI Report		Property and for Reformation (filed by Respondent Christopher Lull, Page 4H;	
9202		Note to Judge: Research attorney to	
Order	1	provide memo – see memo re demurrer page 4C)	
Aff. Posting	8.	1. 9	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt		Dennis Freeman – Page 41)	Reviewed on: 03/05/14
UCCJEA	9.	Notice of Motion for Order Compelling Responses to Form Interrogatories (filed by	Updates:
Citation		Dennis Freeman and set for hearing on	Recommendation:
FTB Notice		04/08/14)	File 4F – Winter
			<u>ι</u> ΔF

4F

4G Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00564

Atty Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Successor Trustee – Petitioner)

Atty Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – Respondent and Objector)

Petition to Compel Christopher Lull to Redress Breach of Trust by Payment of Money or Otherwise and for Double Damages

Stephen Winter DOD: 8-9-05			DENNIS FREEMAN , Successor Trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
Debbra Winter DOD: 5-13-13			Petitioner states: Petitioner Dennis Freeman is the sole beneficiary and named successor trustee of the trust	CONTINUED FROM 01/28/14	
			pursuant to the 9-14-02 amendment. However,	Need order.	
			Respondent CHRISTOPHER LULL , with full knowledge of		
Col	nt. from 012814		said trust amendment, wrongfully exercised powers		
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		under the trust instrument as the purported trustee as		
~	Verified		described below. On		
	Inventory		8-30-13, the Court appointed BRUCE BICKEL as temporary trustee pending resolution of these matters.		
	PTC		remporary mostee pending resolution of these matters.		
	Not.Cred.		Petitioner states Respondent wrongfully procured Bank		
>	Notice of Hrg		of America account xx3919 with a balance of over		
>	Aff.Mail	W	\$565,000, which was an asset of the trust, removed the		
	Aff.Pub.		entire balance on or about 6-26-13, and transferred it		
	Sp.Ntc.		to a new trust account at Bank of America naming		
	Pers.Serv.		himself as trustee, without including the 9-14-02 amendment for the bank, in furtherance of his		
	Conf. Screen		scheme. Petitioner states Respondent then transferred		
	Letters		the money belonging to the trust to a personal bank		
	Duties/Supp		accounts at Bank of America, Chase Bank, and/or		
~	Objections		business accounts at First Northern Bank of Dixon,		
	Video		California and paid a number of personal debts		
	Receipt		and/or non-trust related expenditures all to benefit		
	CI Report		himself. See list provided in petition.		
	9202	\			
	Order	Х	Petitioner states on or about 8-8-13, this Court ordered	D 1 1/15	
	Aff. Posting		Respondent to preserve the assets of the trust. On 10-	Reviewed by: skc/JF	
	Status Rpt		15-13, Respondent testified that all of the trust assets have been spent, based on his name is the only one in	Reviewed on: 03/05/14	
	UCCJEA Citation		the trust. Petitioner states that as of 8-8-13, Respondent	Updates: Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice		still had at least \$377,500 of trust funds on deposit in his	File 4G - Winter	
	rib Nolice		personal and business accounts. These sums were still	riie 49 - Williei	
			trust assets despite his efforts to conceal these monies.		
			This was an egregious and intentional violation of the		
			Court's order and was done for the sole purpose of		
			defeating the recovery of the trust assets from him.		
			SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES		

4G Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Page 2

Petitioner states Respondent was ordered on 8-30-13 to provide an accounting of the expenditure of the trust funds by him. He has refused and continues to refuse to do so consistent with his efforts to abscond with the trust funds.

Case No. 13CEPR00564

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

- 1. Ordering Christopher Lull to redress the breaches of trust described above by payment of all sums wrongfully misappropriated from the trust and reimbursing the trust for all losses occasioned by his wrongful actions;
- 2. Surcharging Christopher Lull twice the value of the property wrongfully misappropriated from the trust according to proof pursuant to Probate Code §859;
- 3. For attorneys' fees;
- 4. For costs herein;
- 5. For such orders as the Court deems necessary and proper.

Response and Objection filed 1-17-14 by Christopher Lull states:

Respondent denies that Petitioner is the successor trustee of the trust and denies that he "wrongfully exercised" the powers under the trust instrument identified as the "Winter Trust dated February 16, 1994." Respondent is the rightful successor trustee of said trust.

Respondent further denies that Fresno County is the appropriate venue for this proceeding and that the appropriate venue is the County of Placer.

Respondent denies that he has wrongfully procured any funds from the trust and that under the terms of the trust he is the rightful successor trustee and beneficiary. Further the allegations in Paragraph 6 are defective and should be stricken as material matters alleged on hearsay information not within Petitioner's personal knowledge. Authority provided.

Respondent denies he has "wrongfully" taken or "absconded" with assets of the trust and alleges that he is the successor trustee and beneficiary. Further, any said claims of violation of the trust, or wrongful misappropriation of trust funds pursuant to §850(a)(3) are insufficient and premature. Objection and a request to strike is hereby made to the recitation of testimony at a prior hearing by the respondent as the Court has made no final judgment or order in these matters; therefore, such testimony should not be considered by the Court, or receive judicial notice under Evidence Code §452, as such testimony is not an order, finding of fact or judgment of the court. Authority provided.

Respondent alleges there are not sufficient grounds for "surcharging" the responding party herein for "double damages" as there has not been any "bad faith" wrongful taking by this responding party.

Respondent further objects to any claim for "attorney's fees." There are no grounds alleged to claim same and this action is subject to the provisions of Civil Code §1021.

Respondent prays that the petition to "redress breach of trust" be dismissed; that none of the relief as requested therein be granted; for costs of suit incurred.

4H Stephen & Debbra Winter Revocable Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00564

Atty Pape, Jeffrey B. (for Dennis Freeman – Respondent to this Petition)

Atty Shahbazian, Steven L. (for Christopher Lull – Petitioner)

Petition to Determine Validity of Purported Trust, for Order Determining Interest in Trust Property and for Reformation

-	irust Propeny and for ketormation	
Stephan DOD:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
08/09/05		·
Debbra DOD:		Examiner Notes are not prepared for this
05/13/13		matter.
Cont. from 012814		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 03/05/14
UCCJEA		Updates:
Citation		Recommendation:
FTB Notice		File 4H – Winter

4H

Atty

Nahigian, Eliot S. (for Petitioner Debra L. Hall)

Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property (Prob. C. 13151)

DC	D: 10/23/2013		DEBRA L. HALL, daughter, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			40 days since DOD.	
			No other proceedings.	Continued from 2/10/14. Minute order
Co	ont. from 01271	4,		states Ms. Edwards [Georgie Edwards,
02	1014		Will dated 1/5/10 devises entire estate to	daughter] who is appearing via
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Debra L. Hall.	CourtCall objects to the petition. Ms.
✓	Verified			Edwards is directed to lodge her written
	Inventory			objections with the court. As of 3/4/14 written objections have not been filed.
	PTC		1 & A - \$70,000.00	William as Joanna Have her Scorringa.
	Not.Cred.			
1	Notice of			
	Hrg		Petitioner requests court determination that	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Decedent's interest in real property pass to	
	Aff.Pub.		her pursuant to Decedent's Will.	
	Sp.Ntc.			
	Pers.Serv.			
	Conf.			
	Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
_	Objections			
	Video			
	Receipt Cl Report			
	9202			
1	Order			
_				Deviewed by a KT
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt UCCJEA			Reviewed on: 3/5/14 Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 5 - Hall
<u> </u>	I ID MONCE	<u> </u>		THE J-HUII

Atty Spaulding, Jill L. (for Gary Steffensen – Petitioner – Son)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 01/03/2014		GARY STEFFENSEN, SO	on is the petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			requests appointme	-	
		without bond.		Proposed personal representative is	
		╡		a resident of Washington. Probate	
<u> </u>	nt. from		Petitioner is a resider	nt of Covington,	Code 8571 states notwithstanding a
<u> </u>			Washington		waiver of bond, the court in its
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		D	.1.1.	discretion may require a nonresident
✓	Verified		Decedent died inte	state	personal representative to give a
	Inventory		Residence: Fresno		bond in an amount determined by the court.
	PTC		Publication: The Busi	ness lournal	me coon.
	Not.Cred.		1 Oblication, the bosi	11C33 JOUITICI	Note: If the petition is granted status
			Estimated value of th	ne Estate:	hearings will be set as follows:
✓	Notice of		Personal property	\$40,000.00	riedings will be set as follows.
	Hrg	,	Real property \$245,	•	• Friday, 08/15/2014 at 9:00a.m.
✓	Aff.Mail	w/o	Total:	\$285,000.00	in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
1	Aff.Pub.				inventory and appraisal and
Ě	0 111		Probate Referee: Ric	ck Smith	aria appraisar <u>arra</u>
	Sp.Ntc.				• Friday, 05/15/2015 at 9:00a.m.
	Pers.Serv.				in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
	Conf.				first account and final distribution.
	Screen				
✓	Letters				Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required
	Duties/Supp				documents are filed 10 days prior to the
√					hearings on the matter the status
	Objections				hearing will come off calendar and no
	Video				appearance will be required.
	Receipt				
	CI Report				
	9202				
✓	Order				
	Aff. Posting				Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt				Reviewed on: 03/06/2014
	UCCJEA				Updates:
	Citation				Recommendation:
	FTB Notice				File 6 – Steffensen
					6

Atty

Garland, John F. (for Dale Allen Crutchfield- Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution

DOD: 06/03/2003	DALE ALLEN CRUTCHFIELD, son, was appointed Executor with full IAEA without bond on 08/26/2003.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	Letters issued on 08/26/2003.	
Cont. from 011014	Inventory and Appraisal was filed on 02/03/2004 shows an estate valued at \$309,517.57 consisting of real property.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Notice of Status Hearing was mailed to John Garland and Dale Allen Crutchfield on 11/07/2013.	
Inventory	Status Report filed 03/06/2014 states on 01/07/2014	
PTC Not Creed	Counsel met with the Executor and Ernest Crutchfield	
Not.Cred.	regarding the Probate Status Hearing. The Executor advised counsel that he has not lived at the decedent's	
Hrg	residence since May 2008 and that he did not receive the	
Aff.Mail	Notice of Status Hearing. When counsel asked the	
Aff.Pub.	Executor if he received counsels previous letters regarding	
Sp.Ntc.	the estate, the Executor acknowledged receipt of	
Pers.Serv.	counsel's letters prior to May 2008 and stated that he had	
Conf.	"no good reason" for failing to respond. The Executor	
Screen	advised counsel that he was "overwhelmed" with the	
Letters	responsibilities of managing the family business,	
Duties/Supp	Crutchfield Pest Control, during a very difficult business financial climate and the additional responsibilities of the	
Objections	Executor of his mother's estate. Both the Executor and	
Video	Ernest advised counsel that business has improved	
Receipt	somewhat, and the Executor, with the assistance of Emest	
CI Report	is now prepared to close their mother's estate.	
9202	The Executor advised counsel that he believes he has	
Order	most of the estate records stored in his garage and will	Povioused by a LV
Aff. Posting Status Rpt	attempt to locate same prior to the Status Hearing and	Reviewed by: LV Reviewed on: 03/05/2014
UCCJEA	advise counsel of the results of the search. Both Dale Allen	Updates:
Citation	Crutchfield and Ernest Douglas Crutchfield were present with Counsel at the probate hearing on 01/10/2014. The	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	Executor advised counsel that he had not located the	File 7 – Crutchfield
	estate records as of that date.	
	Continued on the next page	

7 (additional page) Elizabeth Louise Crutchfield (Estate)

Case No. 03CEPR01051

On 01/10/2014 the Court continued the Probate Status Hearing to 03/10/2014. Since 01/10/2014 the Executor has searched his garage and his business premises for the estate records and has been successful in locating some of the records, but not all of them. The Executor adivised counsel that he delivered some of the estate records, including the estate bank records, to his brother Ernest Crutchfield approximately five years ago. Ernest Crutchfield acknowledges that he received some estate records from the Executor several years ago, but he has not been able to locate same to date. As of this date, both the Executor and Ernest Crutchfield have advised counsel that they are continuing to search for additional estate records. Counsel will provide the Court with an update on the results of the search for estate records by the Executor and Ernest Crutchfield at 03/10/2014 Probate Status Hearing.

Counsel has reviewed the estate records the Executor has located to date, and it is clear that additional documents must be located and reviewed in order to determine what actions must be taken to settle the estate and bring it to a conclusion. The previously prepared Petition for Final Distribution on Waiver of Accounting can be updated after counsel receives and reviews the necessary estate records. If the necessary records are located prior to, or soon after 03/10/2014 Status Hearing, said petition should be completed and filed within approximately 6 weeks.

Atty Rivas, Natalie (Pro Per – Petitioner – Mother)
Petition for Visitation

Age: 15		NATALIE RIVAS, mother, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		SANDRA ROMERO, maternal grandmother was	Need proof of service
		appointed guardian on 11/15/1999.	fifteen (15) days prior to the
Cont. from		Father: JESUS J. ROSALES	hearing of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		of the Petition for Visitation
✓	Verified	Petitioner states: the child has been in the guardian's	for:
	Inventory	care for nearly 15 years. Visitation started out as open but gradually decreased to once a week, two hour	 Sandra Romero (Guardian)
	PTC	visits in the guardian's home. Guardianship was	Jesus J. Rosales
	Not.Cred.	granted based on the mother's physical disability. It	(Father)
	Notice of	x was seen by the guardian that the mother's physical	
	Hrg	disability would make it difficult to care for the child.	
	i	Petitioner states that the child can bathe, dress, feed	
\vdash	Aff.Pub.	and cook for herself therefore she is no longer	
	Sp.Ntc.	dependent on an adult for those needs. The child	
	Pers.Serv.	expressed to the petitioner/mother that she wishes to	
	Conf.	reside with her. Petitioner stated that she thought it	
<u> </u>	Screen	best to begin with petitioning the court for visitation so	
<u> </u>	Letters	that it is less drastic for all involved. Petitioner asks the	
	Duties/Supp	Court to grant her visitation outside of the guardian's	
	Objections	home. She feels that it is time to build a bond with her	
	Video	daughter without the influence of the guardian.	
	Receipt		
	CI Report	Petitioner requests the following visitation schedule:	
	9202	Every 2 nd and 4 th weekend of each month	
	Order Aff Posting	commencing Friday at 5pm through Sunday at 5pm.	Payioused by a 11/
	Aff. Posting	Holidays to be shared. One week of Christmas break. Four hours of the child's birthday. Any other holidays or	Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt UCCJEA	no school day to be shared within reason.	Reviewed on: 03/07/2014
<u> </u>	Citation		Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Current Order pursuant to Minute Order of 07/26/2010:	File 8 – Rivas
		The Court Orders supervised visits for Natalie Rivas at	
		the guardian's home as based on mutual agreement	
		by the parties.	

9 Ariel Rose Bermudez (GUARD/P)

Case No. 14CEPR00013

Thiessen, Margarita (pro per - paternal grandmother/Petitioner) Atty Atty

Thiessen, Curtis (pro per - paternal step-grandfather/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

A 4		TEADOD A DV EVRIDES 02/10/14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Age: 4		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 03/10/14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		MARGARITA THIESSEN and CURTIS THIESSEN, paternal grandmother and step-grandfather, is Petitioner.	Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the Notice of
Со	nt. from	Father: JUAN BERMUDEZ	Hearing along with a copy of
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Mother: MARY DEVANEY, Objection filed	the Petition for Appointment of
✓	Verified	01/15/2014	Guardian or consent and waiver of notice or declaration
	Inventory	Paternal grandfather: ROBERTO GONZALEZ	of due diligence for:
	PTC	Maternal grandfather: DECEASED	Juan Bermudez (Father)
	Not.Cred.	Maternal grandmother: MARGARET DEVANEY	Mary DeVaney (Mother)
	Notice of Hrg	x Sibling: ROSALINA CASSO (13)	(Mother)
		X	2. Need proof of service fifteen
	Aff.Pub.	Petitioners allege that the mother is verbally	(15) days prior to the hearing of
	Sp.Ntc.	 abusive and mentally unstable. She is threatening to return to New Jersey without having any 	the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition for
	Pers.Serv.	x support or any place to live there. She moved to	Appointment of Guardian or
1	Conf.	California because no one in New Jersey would	consent and waiver of notice
*	Screen	help her. Petitioners do not want the minor to be homeless. Petitioners state that the child has	or declaration of due diligence
√	Letters	resided with them since the child was born.	for: • Robert Gonzalez
	Duties/Supp	Objection to guardianship filed 01/15/14 by	(Paternal Grandfather)
Ě	Objections	mother, Mary DeVaney states: she objects to the	 Margaret DeVaney
✓	Objections	guardianship and has many concerns about the	(Maternal
	Video	Petitioners. Ms. DeVaney alleges that Curtis grows	Grandmother)
	Receipt	and sells marijuana and they were victims of a	 Rosalina Casso (Sibling)
✓	CI Report	home invasion in October 2013. Objector feels	
	9202	that the minor's safety is at risk in the Petitioner's home. Further, she alleges that Petitioners spoil	
✓	Order	Ariel and give her anything she wants, which has	
	Aff. Posting	made it very difficult for her to parent. Ms.	Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt	DeVaney also alleges that Petitioners are verbally abusive to her and her older daughter, Rosalina,	Reviewed on: 03/05/2014
✓	UCCJEA	so much so that Rosalina suffered a panic attack	Updates:
	Citation	due to the stress. Ms. DeVaney alleges that the	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	Petitioners are prone to violent outbursts and	File 9 – Bermudez
		throwing things. She does not feel that Petitioners	
		would be good guardians to the minor. She states that she is not a perfect mother, but that she is a	
		good mother and loves her children and wants	
		what is best for them.	
		II	

9 (additional page) Ariel Rose Bermudez (GUARD/P) Case No.14CEPR00013

Declaration of the mother, Mary DeVaney filed 01/24/2014 includes a statement that she is fighting to regain custody of her child and believes that her rights as an American are being violated. She states that prior to this guardianship the father had been trying to get custody of the child but the mother was awarded sole legal custody. She states that she respects the petitioners and appreciates all that they have done for her and the child however she believes she should have the opportunity to care for her child.

Also attached are character letters written on her behalf, as well as a notice to move from Petitioner Curtis Thiessen, and a rent receipt.

Declaration of Petitioner, Curtis Thiessen, filed 02/18/2014 includes a statement from Mr. Thiessen which states that the mother bribed his daughter-in-law to write a letter in the mother's favor by paying her in food stamps. He states his son and daughter-in-law have not allowed him and his wife to see their grandchildren and it is because of the Mary DeVaney. Mr. Thiessen reiterates that the mother's plan is to move back to New Jersey and does not have a support system there.

Also included is a drawing of a swastika that was drawn by the mother and the mother's eldest daughter.

Court Investigator Dina Calvillo's report filed 03/05/2014.

Valenzuela, Denise Marlene (pro per – maternal great-aunt/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Ag	e: 2		NO TEMPORARY IN PLACE	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			DENISE VALENZUELA , maternal great-aunt, is Petitioner. Father: JOSE MIRANDA – Declaration of Due	If diligence is not found, need proof of service at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition
Со	nt. from		Diligence filed 01/15/14	for Guardianship <u>or</u> Consent &
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Mother: DESTINY ANGEL – Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 01/15/14	Waiver of Notice for: - Jose Miranda (father) –
–	Verified		of Notice flied 01/13/14	personal service required
	Inventory PTC		Paternal grandparents: UNKNOWN –	- Paternal grandparents –
	Not.Cred.		Declarations of Due Diligence filed 01/15/14	service by mail is sufficient
√	Notice of Hrg		Maternal grandfather: MICHAEL ANCE	
	Nolice of Filg		Maternal grandfather: MICHAEL ANGEL - Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 01/15/14	
√	Aff.Mail	w/	Maternal grandmother: CHRISTINE	
	Aff.Pub.		VALENZUELA - Consent & Waiver of Notice	
	Sp.Ntc.		filed 01/15/14	
	Pers.Serv.		Petitioner states that both parents are using	
✓	Conf. Screen		crystal meth and are not able to provide for	
✓	Letters		Layla. Petitioner states that Layla knows her	
✓	Duties/Supp		as mom and has been in her care since she was two months old and it would be	
	Objections		traumatic if she was removed.	
	Video		iliderificii she wasterileved.	
	Receipt		Court Investigator Samantha Henson filed a	
<u> </u>	CI Report		report on 03/03/14.	
	9202			
<u> </u>	Order			2
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: JF
√	Status Rpt UCCJEA			Reviewed on: 03/05/14
<u> </u>	Citation			Updates: Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 10 - Miranda

10

1 Ellard V. Youngberg (CONS/PE)

Case No. 13CEPR00378

Atty Widdis, Lawrence A.; Widdis, Laura, of Widdis & Widdis, Glendale (for Petitioner Carol J.

Wertheim)

Atty Fanucchi, Edward L., of Quinlan Kershaw & Fanucchi (Court-appointed for Conservatee)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

1020, 1021, 2000-2002)				
Ag	e: 92 years	CAROL J. WERTHEIM, daughter, is Petitioner	NEEDS/P	
		and requests appointment as Conservator of the	This mo	
		Person with medical consent and dementia powers	a.m.	
Со	nt. from 062013,	to administer dementia medications; and of the	Court Inv	
	2513, 102513,	Estate with bond set at \$255,700.00.	6/5/2013	
120	613		Continue	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Estimated Value of the Estate:	First Add	
✓	Verified	Personal property - \$250,000.00 Annual income - \$ 5,700.00	Minute C	
	Inventory	Annual income - \$ 5,700.00 Total - \$255,700.00	1. Nee	
	PTC	- \$255,700.00	hea	
	Not.Cred.	Capacity Declaration of Jeffrey Mar, Ph.D., filed	12/1	
✓	Notice of	6/3/2013 supports request for dementia powers and	2. If Co	
	Hrg	medical consent powers.	the E	
✓	Aff.Mail W	<u>'</u>	purs and	
	Aff.Pub.	Voting Rights NOT Affected		
	Sp.Ntc.		Note: If Conserv	
✓	Pers.Serv. W	Petitioner states the proposed Conservatee has	Court w	
✓	Conf.	atypical dementia with exaggeration of	follows:	
	Screen	longstanding domineering and controlling	• Fride	
✓	Cap. Dec.	personality tendencies that have become		
✓	Duties/\$	inappropriate, and at times, abusive to those		
	Objections	around him, particularly his spouse [LAVERNE •		
✓	Video	YOUNGBERG.] Petitioner states the proposed		
	Receipt	Conservatee has lost much of his cognitive and functional abilities, lacks judgment and is paranoid,	inve • Fride	
✓	CI Report	and he is unable to provide for his medical care,	a.m	
	9202	food, clothing or shelter. Petitioner states proposed	acc	
√	Order	Conservatee has been housed in, and needs to	Con	
		continue to reside in, an assisted living caretaking	Pursuant docume	
		environment.	days prid	
			hearings	
		Court Investigator Jo Ann Morris' Report was filed on	no appe	
√	1.4	6/7/2013.	~F	
 	Letters		Reviewe	
	Status Rpt	~Please see additional page~	Reviewe	
	UCCJEA	1	Updates	
✓	Citation	-	Recomn	
L	FTB Notice		File 1 – Y	

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

This matter will be heard at 10:30 a.m.

Court Investigator Advised Rights on 6/5/2013.

<u>Continued from 12/10/2013</u>. Please see First Additional Page for contents of *Minute Order* dated 12/10/2013.

- Need Status Report prior to the hearing, per Minute Order dated 12/10/2013.
- 2. If Court grants Conservatorship of the Estate, bond is required pursuant to Probate Code § 2320 and CA Rule of Court 7.207.

Note: If Petition is granted for Conservatorship of the <u>Estate</u>, Court will set status hearings as follows:

- Friday, April 18, 2014 at 9:00

 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing proof of bond:
- Friday, July 18, 2014 at 9:00

 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing of inventory and appraisal; and
- Friday, May 15, 2015 at 9:00

 a.m. in Dept. 303 for filing of first account of the conservatorship.

Pursuant Local Rule 7.5, if the documents noted above are filed 10 days prior to the dates listed, the hearings will be taken off calendar and no appearance will be required.

~Please see additional page~

Reviewed by: LEG

Reviewed on: 3/7/14

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 1 – Youngberg

First Additional Page 1, Ellard V. Youngberg (CONS/PE)

Case No. 13CEPR00378

<u>Minute Order dated 12/10/2013</u> from the hearing on the Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate states in pertinent part as follows:

- The Court orders that the landline be established and the number be provided to the family. Dan Fry is directed to expedite the installation of the landline.
- All prior agreements regarding telephone contact to remain as previously set with the exception that Ms.
 Youngberg will not be coordinating the calls. Both counsel to work out any changes regarding telephone calls.
- Mr. Youngberg is directed to follow through with his examination for a hearing aid. Dan Fry is directed to confirm and expedite the appointment.
- The Court orders that Mr. Youngberg submit to an examination by Dr. Mar. In the event that Dr. Mar is no longer practicing, both counsel are to agree upon another doctor. If counsel is unable to agree, the Court will make that determination upon an order shortening time.
- The Court orders that any further competency exam be at the expense of the proposed Conservatee's estate.
- Parties waive confidentiality for the purpose of allowing the Court to obtain information and/or report from the doctor.
- Any orders previously made regarding special powers is withdrawn by the Court.
- Matter is continued to 3/10/2014. Counsel is directed to submit a status report before the next hearing.

Psychological Evaluation [by] Jeffrey Mar, Ph.D., Re Examination of Proposed Conservatee, Ellard V. Youngberg, January 26, 2014 was filed by Attorney Fanucchi on 3/7/2014 [confidential].

Declaration Regarding A Report from Dr. Jeffrey Mar filed by Attorney Lawrence Widdis on 3/7/2014 states:

- He declares that, unfortunately, D. Mar concluded after he agreed to reevaluate Ellard Youngberg and
 after the Petitioner paid him that: "it is beyond the scope of this evaluation to assess his (Ellard's)
 competency regarding other matters, such as his ability to make financial, medical, placement, or other
 daily living decisions on his own behalf." (Page 1, last sentence of "Reason for Referral;"
- Further, Dr. Mar did not complete the Capacity Declaration from given him by his (Attorney Widdis') office;
- Via email on 3/5/2014 at 8:02 a.m., he supplied Edward L. Fanucchi, Attorney for Ellard, a copy of Dr. Mar's report;
- At the hearing on Monday, March 10th, the Petitioner will ask the Court for permission to hire another doctor to evaluate her father.

IME Report of Howard B. Terrell, M.D., Psychiatrist RE Examination of Proposed Conservatee, Ellard V. Youngberg, October 23, 2013 was filed on **11/13/2013**.

~Please see additional page~

Notes for background:

- Minute Order dated 10/25/2013 states in pertinent part: Dan Fry was present on 7/25/2013 and he is not present here today. Mr. and Mrs. Youngberg were directed to be present today and they are not. A representation has been made to the Court that the terms of the mediation agreement have not been adhered to, specifically the installation of the telephone; on 7/25/2013, Mr. Fanucchi was ordered to set up an appointment to have Ellard Youngberg examined, which did not take place until 10/23/2013. The Court appoints Carol Wertheim as temporary conservator of the person with limited powers to facilitate visits as deemed appropriate, ensure the installation of the telephone, look into a hearing device, and to take such actions as deemed necessary to facilitate communication with the staff at the facility regarding meals etc. The temporary conservator shall be limited to these powers until further order of the Court. This temporary conservatorship does not authorize the execution of documents or any estate planning on behalf of Ellard Youngberg. The Court indicates to the parties that it is issuing this temporary conservatorship based on what is believed to be in the best interest of Ellard Youngberg, and based on the voluntary mediation agreement that was not followed. Mr. and Mrs. Youngberg are ordered to be present at the next hearing [on 12/10/2013].
- Minute Order dated 7/25/2013 states the Court informs Mr. Gromis and Mr. Fanucchi to update the estate planning documents appropriately. Mr. Fanucchi is ordered to set up an appointment for another examination for Mr. Youngberg. The Court would like Mr. and Mrs. Youngberg present at the next hearing. Parties agree to meet for mediation today at 1:30 p.m.
- <u>Minute Order dated 6/20/2013</u> states Ms. Widdis and Mr. [Jason] Wertheim are appearing via Courtcall. The Court indicates to the parties that per the investigative report, it appears that a conservatorship is not necessary. The Court directs Mr. Gromis to provide copies of the estate planning and other documents to Mr. Widdis.

Second Supplement to Petition Re: (1) Additional Capacity Declaration; (2) Declaration Re: Conservsation with Grandfather on 9/21/2013; (a) Isolation at meals; (b) Excluded from Family Gatherings; (c) Medium/Long Term Memory Issues; (d) Misunderstanding of Surgical Risks; (e) Signing of Estate Planning Documents; (f) Do Not Resuscitate Order Not Accurate; (g) Specific Mental Ability Findings filed by CAROL WERTHEIM on 10/18/2013 states, in brief sum:

- At the hearing on 7/25/2013, the Court ordered the proposed Conservatee be reexamined by a medical
 professional and, since Petitioner and her attorney do not know any doctors in the Fresno area, the court
 appointed counsel Edward L. Fanucchi was to arrange for the examination;
- At the second medication on 9/20/2013, it was agreed by all those present that the Youngberg family doctor,
 Dr. Rubio, not be the examiner;
- To date and to the best knowledge of Petitioner and her attorney, <u>no</u> additional examination of Mr. Youngberg
 has been completed [emphasis in original];
- Attached as Exhibit A is a Capacity Declaration completed by JASON WERTHEIM, M.D., Ph.D., who is a licensed
 physician in the State of Illinois; Exhibit B is a declaration by Dr. Wertheim discussing proposed Conservatee's
 condition in detail; Exhibit C is Dr. Wertheim's curriculum vitae;
- Petitioner alleges that the conclusions of her son, Dr. Wertheim, mirror those of JEFFREY MAR, M.D., Ph.D., as stated in the Capacity Declaration filed 6/3/2013 by him, and the 6/9/2012 handwritten evaluation of the proposed Conservatee by Dr. Mar attached as Exhibit D;

~Please see additional page~

Second Supplement to Petition filed by CAROL WERTHEIM on 10/18/2013, continued:

- Attached as Exhibit E is an Advanced Health Care Directive purportedly signed by Mr. Youngberg on 9/3/2013 and notarized by Attorney David Paul Gromis;
- Attached as Exhibit F is a HIPPA Authorization for Release of Protected Health Information, offered, signed and notarized through the law office of Mr. Gromis dated 9/3/2013;
- Petitioner states it at the least an inappropriate time for her father to be signing any estate planning documents or HIPPA release forms while the conservatorship matter is pending, especially because Petitioner doubts preparation of said documents was her father's idea;
- Therefore, Petitioner asks the Court to prohibit any person from offering Mr. Youngberg estate planning documents until this matter is decided [emphasis added];
- Attached as Exhibit G is a copy of the Mediation Agreement signed by court-appointed attorney Edward L.
 Fanucchi, Dr. Jason Wertheim, Petitioner Carol Wertheim, and Dan Fry, son of Mrs. Youngberg, on 9/20/2013;
- Petitioner requests that the Court review the second agreement listed on page 1 of the Mediation Agreement (Exhibit G) which discusses phone service in Mr. Youngberg's room and who is responsible for ordering service Mr. Fry; to date no phone has been installed [emphasis in original]; Petitioner states this is further proof of the isolation of Mr. Youngberg by Mrs. Youngberg's family and their unwillingness to comply with voluntary agreements;
- Petitioner requests that the Court review page 3 of the Mediation Agreement (Exhibit G) which states the parties agreed on 9/20/13 that through the use of Mrs. Youngberg's cell phone, Mr. Youngberg would be available for phone calls from Petitioner and Dr. Wertheim on the first and third Sunday of the month between 3 and 4 p.m. or as mutually agreed; Attached as Exhibit H is an email from Dr. Wertheim stating he couldn't talk to his grandfather on the first Sunday he was supposed to be available through Mrs. Youngberg's cell phone;
- Petitioner states this is further proof of the isolation of Mr. Youngberg and the unwillingness to comply with voluntary agreements.

Petitioner Carol Wertheim asks that the Court approve her petition at this hearing since there is substantial evidence that Mr. Youngberg needs a conservator, that he is being pressured to sign estate planning documents, that he does not understand and therefore cannot agree to surgery, and that he is being isolated from his daughter and grandson.

~Please see additional page~

Fourth Additional Page 1, Ellard V. Youngberg

Case No. 13CEPR00378

Status Report and Recommendations of Court-Appointed Counsel filed by Edward L. Fanucchi on 6/19/2013 states:

- He met for almost 3 hours with the proposed Conservatee, his wife Laverne Youngberg, and his daughter, Carol Wertheim, at Sierra View Homes in Reedley, where the elder Youngbergs reside in separate apartments, and they are with each other on a daily basis; he invited the daughter to attend so he could get an understanding of the forces within the family that have caused the filing of this *Petition* by the daughter;
- The interval history within 12 months indicates a mistrust by Mrs. Youngberg of the motives of Ms. Wertheim; Ms. Wertheim has noted estrangement with her father and Mrs. Youngberg, and this has caused Ms. Wertheim to become frustrated by her lack of involvement in the care and life of her father;
- Mr. Youngberg and his daughter express love for each other; it is apparent that both father and daughter share the same controlling personalities, and that personality is not meeting with acceptance from Mrs. Youngberg and her son, Daniel Fry, who is playing an ever-increasing role in the financial affairs of the Youngbergs;
- Mr. Youngberg is mentally alert, focuses on subject matter, is oriented to time, place, person, and thing, and
 does look to his wife for her reassurance on most matters;
- Mr. Youngberg is not aware of the extent of his assets, nor does his wife seem to be so; they were surprised by
 the list of assets in excess of \$700,000.00 prepared by their estate planning attorney, David Gromis; they were
 unaware of the effect of joint tenancy, especially as to any accounts where one of the joint tenants was
 someone other than the two of them;
- The Youngbergs repeated that on the death of the last of them, they expect their estate to be divided into 4 equal shares, one share to each of the 3 children of Mrs. Youngberg and one share to the only child of Mr. Youngberg;

Recommendations:

- 1. A Conservatorship should not be granted to anyone at this point in the state of health of Mr. Youngberg; he apparently has Power of Attorney in favor of Daniel Fry, and that seems to be working well; he has spoken to Attorney Gromis who prepared the estate planning documents which have yet to be executed, and Mr. Gromis may have some hesitation because of a psychological evaluation done by Psychologist Jeffrey Mar, but it appears that Mr. Youngberg is competent in understanding, focusing, and judgment, although he is getting assistance from his wife and her son Daniel Fry, as well as Mr. Gromis;
- 2. If a Conservatorship is deemed appropriate, there should be Co-Conservators with Ms. Wertheim being one along with another from Mrs. Youngberg's family; it would be totally inappropriate to grant the Petition which would be contrary to the wishes of Petitioner's father and Mrs. Youngberg; it would stir up emotions that would be highly unsettling to the family, and it would not benefit Mr. Youngberg who is being taken care of quite well through residential care and through his wife and his Attorney-in-fact; there is no question that this daughter [Petitioner] can be and should be involved in his life.

Supplement to Petition Regarding Co-Conservators as Suggested by Court-Appointed Counsel filed by Petitioner Carol Wertheim on 7/15/2013 states:

- Court-appointed Attorney's Report: In the report filed by the court-appointed attorney for the proposed Conservatee, Attorney Edward Fanucchi, it is suggested on page 2 that if a conservatorship is deemed appropriate by the Court that Ms. Wertheim and Daniel Fry be appointed as Co-Conservators of the person and estate;
- Petitioner Accepts Proposal: Notice is hereby given that the Petitioner, Carol Wertheim, accepts advice given by Mr. Fanucchi and asks the Court to appoint her and Daniel Fry as Co-Conservators of the person and estate of her father.

Atty Pagliotti, Daniel J. (Pro Per – Father – Petitioner)
Atty Pagliotti, Sherron L. (Pro Per – Mother – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

	1021, 2000-2002)				
			NO TEMP REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			DANIEL and SHERRON PAGLIOTTI , Parents, are Petitioners and request appointment as Co-Conservators of the Person with medical consent powers.	Court Investigator advised rights on 2- 11-14 Voting rights affected – need minute	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		N/-18 1-11 M11	<u>order</u>	
~	Verified		Voting rights affected		
	Inventory		A Capacity Declaration was filed 2-3-14.		
	PTC		A capacity beclaration was thea 2-0-14.		
	Not.Cred.		Petitioners state the proposed Conservatee		
>	Notice of Hrg		has Down syndrome and is a client of CVRC.		
>	Aff.Mail	W	He is unable to manage his personal care		
	Aff.Pub.		and cannot be left alone for safety reasons.		
	Sp.Ntc.		His physician has determined that he is not competent to make decisions regarding his		
>	Pers.Serv.	W	health care.		
>	Conf. Screen				
>	Letters		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a		
>	Duties/Supp		report on 3-3-14.		
	Objections				
>	Video				
	Receipt				
>	CI Report				
	9202				
>	Order				
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 3-5-14	
	UCCJEA			Updates:	
>	Citation			Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 11 – Pagliotti	

12

Ferris, Lois Georgine (Pro Per – Maternal Grandmother – Petitioner) Ferris, Herman Ray (Pro Per – Maternal Step-Grandfather – Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

			TEMP GRANTED EX PARTE EXPIRES 3-10-14	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			GENERAL HEARING 4-29-14	1. Need Form GC-020(P) "Proof of
				Personal Service of Notice of
			LOIS GEORGINE FERRIS and HERMAN RAY	Hearing – Guardianship or
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		FERRIS , Maternal Grandmother and Step- Grandfather, are Petitioners.	Conservatorship" showing personal service on the mother at least five
_	Verified		Ordinardiner, are remiorials.	Court days prior to the hearing per
	Inventory		Father (all minors): UNKNOWN	Probate Code §2250(e).
	PTC		Mother: REBECCA DAWN FRENCH	<u> </u>
				(Petitioners used an incorrect
>	Not.Cred.		Paternal grandparents (all minors): Unknown	attachment to the Notice of
Ě	Notice of Hrg		Maternal Grandfather: Not listed	Hearing form that appears to indicate mailed service, so it is
-	Aff.Mail		Maieriai Gariaiairiei. Noi listea	unclear if the mother has been
-	Aff.Pub.		Petitioners state Dustin has been in in	properly served.)
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioners' care since January 2013 and they	F - F - 7 7
.	Pers.Serv.	Χ	have had Jocelynne and Divana since	2. Need consent of minor Dustin
~	Conf. Screen		February 2014, when the mother was	or proof of personal service of
~	Letters		arrested. CPS placed the children with Petitioners and advised them to seek	Notice of Hearing with a copy of
>	Duties/Supp		guardianship. Petitioners state the mother has	the temp petition at least five court days prior to the hearing per
	Objections		extensive criminal and CPS history and the	Probate Code §2250(e).
	Video		fathers are unknown. The minors have settled	3====(=).
	Receipt		in with Petitioners and feel safe and secure in	3. Petitioners state the fathers of all
	CI Report		their home. Their teachers have noted huge	three of the children are unknown,
	9202		differences since they have lived with	but have not provided a
>	Order		Petitioners. Temporary guardianship is needed so that they will not be placed in the	declaration of due diligence regarding efforts to identify, locate
			foster care system.	and serve. The Court may require
			Tostor Gare System.	further diligence or service.
				•
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: skc
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 3-5-14
>	UCCJEA			Updates:
_	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 12 - French
				12